

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Shall I take it that the telegram was the first information to the Tahsildar and that on the first night he was helpless to make any arrangements to alert the people ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is not helplessness. As I said, the method of measuring the inflow is not very perfect. Therefore, earlier information could not have been given about the rise of water, water storage and with reference to the safety of the Dam.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The maximum lake level is 124.8. On the evening of second, at 6 P. M. it was 119.63. Was there not enough room to impound all the inflow and see that water was released only during day time so that the flowing water itself might alert the people.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—That aspect also has been considered by the officer in charge and taking into account all aspects of the question with reference to the safety of the dam it was thought quite necessary and Government approved of the action taken by the officer that allowing this water into the river was very essential.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know what are the materials that convinced the Government for letting out water when the lake level was only 119 and at an early hour when people living on the banks usually go to the river when this step was taken ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—There are a number of points to be considered on this question. There is the 1924 agreement by which some restrictions are imposed on us and the safety of the dam and all these things taken into consideration, it was very essential that water should have been let into the river on the second of July at 5 A. M.

2-00 P.M.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know what compensation has been given for the loss of life ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I do not suppose we have given any compensation to the family of the deceased.

---

#### AMOUNT PROVIDED FOR THE RESTORATION AND REPAIRS OF MINOR TANKS IN HASSAN TALUK.

\*Q.—929. Smt. DYAVAMMA (Gandasi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the amount provided for Hassan Taluk since 1957 up to date for the restoration and repair of minor tanks every year ;

(b) the amount provided in Hassan Taluk during the above years for minor anicuts ;

(c) the quantum of work completed in each taluk and the amount remaining unspent;

(d) the amount still required to complete the works (Hobli-war and year-war information to be furnished)?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Information in respect of Hassan Taluk is not available for the periods prior to 1960-61. The information in respect of the years 1960-61 and 1961-62 is noted below :

1960-61	...	Rs. 2,40,000
1961-62	...	Rs. 44,500

(b) The amount provided is for all the irrigation works including minor anicuts and the break up showing separate allotment made to minor anicuts as required is not available.

(c) *Vide* statement appended showing the grant and outlay for the years 1960-61 and 1961-62.

(d) About Rs. 31 lakhs. Hobli-wise and year-wise information not available.

(Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH)

Statement showing the grant, outlay and amount unspent in Hassan District for the years 1960-61 and 1961-62.

Taluk	1960-61		Outlay		Amount unspent if any	1961-62		Outlay till end of June 1961	Amount unspent if any
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.		
Hassan	...	2,40,000	2,33,370	6,630	...	44,500	59,489	...	...
Araikere	...	4,25,000	3,98,445	26,555	...	1,20,100	56,920	...	...
Channarayapatna	...	2,15,000	2,29,217	...	...	1,38,000	60,097	...	...
Belur	...	2,15,000	2,19,459	...	...	76,000	53,833	...	...
Arkalgud	...	1,95,000	1,65,887	29,113	...	92,500	36,302	...	...
Holenarsipur	...	2,25,000	2,24,830	170	...	86,400	52,694	...	...
Sakleshpur	...	4,85,000	5,17,651	...	...	1,09,500	1,76,175	...	...

The grant for the year 1961-62 is still to be utilized and hence the unspent figures are not arrived at.

NOTE :—The figures shown against Sakleshpur taluk are inclusive of figures of Alur taluk.